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Abstract—Alliances can help firms to achieve their objectives in several ways. Alliances not only spread the risk of business ventures by sharing that risk with other firms, they also give firms access to knowledge, resources, and capabilities that the firm might otherwise lack. Through alliances potential competitive advantage is achieved in four ways: joint investment, knowledge sharing, complementary resources, and effective management.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Joint Investments

Regarding joint investment, alliances can help to increase returns by motivating firms to make investments that they would be unwilling to make outside a formal alliance relationship. This advantage is particularly important in light of the fact that productivity gains are possible when activities linked in the value chain are supported with transaction-specific investments.

In many situations, a supplier won’t make an investment pertaining specifically to an exchange with one buyer. The reason is that the investment would tie the supplier too closely to one buyer and expose it to too much risk, the greatest risk being that the buyer would not buy the supplier’s products or services, and also grinds the supplier down on price due to its dependence on the single buyer. The supplier’s hesitancy, however, can be overcome if the buyer is willing to enter a formal arrangement that reduces the supplier’s risk.

1) Knowledge sharing

Regarding knowledge sharing, one common reason of entering into alliances is to learn from partners. Learning, however, requires partners to cooperate in transferring knowledge. Although partners may not be equally capable of absorbing knowledge, two factors can help to facilitate the transfer of knowledge:

1) mutual trust and familiarity between partners;
2) consistent information–sharing routines, such as that obtained through higher – level executive contact, integrated information systems, and employee swapping and cross–company career paths [1].

B. Effective Management

Regarding effective management, a way to judge whether an alliance is effective is if it helps build a competitive advantage.

It is known that resources and capabilities are the basis of competitive advantage only when they satisfy certain criteria: they must be valuable, rare, difficult to imitate, and supported by organizational arrangements. If an alliance (or network of alliances) is a vehicle that helps the firm’s strategy satisfy these criteria, it has probably developed a collaborative advantage that helps one or more of the member firms achieve a competitive advantage over rivals outside the alliance [3].

1) Clusters

Successful businesses are conditioned by easy and fast access to knowledge, highly qualified workforce, specialized technical and social assistance, and by quick identification of suppliers, customers and innovative solutions. These requirements can be most easily achieved through clusters.

The most successful clusters have been created spontaneously, as a result of natural competitive advantages, of market forces or simply of chance [4].

The cluster has become an important element of innovation policies in the Member States of the European Union [5]. The European Union supports an approach based on regional innovative clusters in urban centres not only developed but also in poorer or rural regions [6]. European Cluster Observatory has identified about 2000 clusters, supported by policies and concrete projects of national and European funding. Since 2006, the European Commission identified the development of innovative clusters as one of the strategic priorities for the successful promotion of innovation. Innovative clusters are polarized around innovative companies (including start-ups and spin-offs) and around research organizations which have the role of stimulating innovation in companies through intensive interaction to promote research results, exchange facilities, experience and knowledge and technology transfer through concrete activities.
Europe does not lack clusters, but market fragmentation, weak linkages between research and industry and insufficient cooperation within EU have led to the lack of a necessary critical mass within European clusters and of innovation capabilities to face global competition and leading to higher level clusters.

2) Romanian Clusters

In Romania, for example, clusters are in an early stage, compared with other EU countries, but may have already noticed some initiatives in this area. [7]. In Western Romania there is a high potential for cluster formation and already exist forms of cooperation and interacting. Thus, under the aegis of the West Regional Development Agency was created an innovative network "Tehimpuls" which brings together all regional actors involved in innovation and were taken the first steps in creating a cluster in the automotive sector, near the Dacia Group Renault Romania Company. Business Incubator and Technology Transfer Centre at the "Polytechnic" University of Timisoara formed the nucleus of a cluster. Also, in Covasna county exist an innovator cluster in wood processing, financial sustained effort being by the structural funds SOP HRD.

The grant is over 50% as minimum and almost 98% for providing raw materials for others. In addition, for clusters a cluster. Also, in Covasna county exist an innovator cluster "Polytechnic" Incubator and Technology Transfer Centre at the "Polytechnic" University of Timisoara formed the nucleus of a cluster. Also, in Covasna county exist an innovator cluster in wood processing, financial sustained effort being by the structural funds SOP HRD.

In the textile-garment field there are two clusters, in various forms of development, both situated on North-East of the country. The first of them, ASTRICO North East was formed and organized around the main producers of yarn, knitwear, fabrics from north-east of the country, plus the Regional Development Agency North-East and The "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi [9].

Within ASTRICO cluster, given the large reduction in lohn orders (large orders with delivery within more than six weeks for which are preferred other countries), Romanian clothing firms have substantially reduced production capacity to accommodate to smaller orders that are majority placed further in Romania. This cluster has been created to strengthen cooperation between these producers in order to meet large orders which still exist (about 3-4 months / year), but with delivery times of up to six weeks. Thus, one single manufacturer has not capacity to execute such orders and respect the delivery terms.

At this time, actions within this cluster represent rather a short-term response to fluctuating demands of the market and transient. With time, clusters from Romania will have to react after cluster principles, focusing on research, innovation and development of long-term strategy [11].
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