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Abstract—Slide presentations have been widely used in current teaching and learning process. While text-laden slides might give a comprehensive feel over the materials, information overload might end up the learner getting confused in the middle of presentation. On the contrary, slides full of key points are not useful without the presenter. The objective of this research is to improve the teaching and learning process by transforming the slide content into a graphical knowledge display. A structure-based algorithm for presentation mapping is proposed to extract the keywords from each slide and to model into a mind map via web interface.

Index Terms—Presentation mapping, mind map, concept map, text mining.

I. INTRODUCTION

Widespread use of slide-based presentation using presentation program such as the Microsoft PowerPoint provide a healthy diet of visual stimulation that will help users to make a clear, compelling presentation. PowerPoint was introduced by Microsoft as a proprietary commercial software presentation program back in 1990 for users to create a professional looking presentation in business. It is estimated that 30 million PowerPoint presentations are delivered every day [1].

In education, collection of the data that displays in a graphical format with the characteristic of lesser text, colorful pictures or shapes may assists the learner in enhancing their memory [2] and understanding [3]. Nonetheless, we believe the use of slide-based presentation is overemphasized, whereby the old adage “A picture is worth a thousand words” does not hold true anymore. While images or keywords should save the instructor from speaking a paragraph of descriptive details, the slide does not only fit visual images to the oral presentation, but is laden with text.

This has led to conditions such as PowerPoint poisoning that refers to excessive use of words in each content slide with more than five bulleted points per slide [4]. If this is the case, then the instructor would spend more than five minutes for one slide, hence triggering the learner to starts reading instead and ending up not listening to the explanation delivered.

Another condition is myopia, when the content is designed using contrasting colors or a font size that is too small. This will lead to confusion among learners, by not knowing which the important points are. At the end of the spectrum is the presentation death to the learners after long-suffering from reading word-for-word from the visual slide.

While text-laden slides might give a comprehensive feel over the materials, such information overload might end up the learner getting confused in the middle of presentation. Learners will also find difficulty in understanding the slide when the presentation session is over. Without the presenter, slide-based presentation may lead to misunderstanding because a good slide will contain less explanation but full of key points. This will obviously put the learners at loss.

When such conditions take place, the quality of teaching and learning will be greatly affected because today’s learners across primary to tertiary education are part of a generation called the digital natives [5]. Massive literature digital natives were based on the premise that their brains are built differently as compared to the older generations, which are referred to digital immigrants.

According to Prensky [5], digital natives are people who are born after the technological era of 21st century as opposed to the digital immigrants who were born in the 20th century. Digital natives are also nuanced by alternative terminologies such as the gen-Y, net-gen [6], digital generation [7], millennial generation [8], and dot.com generation.

According to Bayne and Ross [9], today learners are immersed in digital technologies. They highly rely on computers and Internet for their daily activities. With the ability for multi-tasking, they crave for speed of access and instant gratification. This group of learners will usually not be able to recall the lecture delivered as taking notes is not part of their in-class regime anymore. They grew up in a highly stimulating and interactive digital environment; hence they are not accustomed to being passive receptors, in particular being an audience to lecture with slide-based presentation.

The remainder of this paper will proceed as follows. Section II will introduce graphical knowledge display in general. Section III will present the proposed Presentation Mapping, which includes the new structure-based algorithm, Section IV will demonstrate implementation of presentation mapping, while Section V concludes with some indication of future work.

II. GRAPHICAL KNOWLEDGE DISPLAY

When slide-based presentation does not interest today’s crowd, new pedagogical tool using graphical knowledge display such as the mind map is explored [10]. According to
Mind mapping tends to be more flexible and personal as compared to concept maps because it slices and dices the map’s central topic or concept in multiple ways as designed by the owner. Mind maps also promote use of images and colors to make them more visually stimulating. The structure of a mind map is also simplified from a concept map by having only one central key [13], hence they claim that a mind map is actually more effective when applied to written materials [2].

According to Davies [13], the main purpose for software mapping tools such as for concept mapping or mind mapping is to help the presenter or lecturer impart critical and analytical knowledge to students hence enabling the students to see relationships between concepts. Common features of such tools include different representation of diagrammatic relationships in preference to written or verbal descriptions. This research concludes that pictures and structured diagrams are more comprehensible than just words, and provide clearer way to illustrate understanding of complex topics.

Nonetheless, the terms concept mapping and mind mapping are often used interchangeably in the literature. In addition, the techniques for concept mapping or mapping is not obvious even to the digital natives, therefore it has to be trained. Constructing mind map manually required good understanding, effort and time, as well as good imagination and understanding on the subject matter [16].

To facilitate process of constructing the mind map, we propose a technique to automatically extract the structure of a slide-based presentation and reconstruct the structure in the form of a mind map.

III. PROPOSED PRESENTATION MAPPING

Variety of software packages has been developed and introduced into the education domain to tailor learning characteristics of the digital natives. To iterate the point by Davies [13], concept maps or mind maps are difficult to interpret by others and have limited capabilities when dealing with complex relationship. The construction is time-consuming because it is essential for students to understand the main concept beforehand. This implies concept mapping or mind mapping requires expertise to develop, but the complexity does not assist in memorability. At the end, such applications can be overwhelming and demotivating because of its many rules and multiple relationships to learn [17], [18].

In this paper, we introduce the term “Presentation Mapping” as an automated technique to extract keywords from lecture slides and present the keywords in a graphical knowledge display with relationships among the individual slides. The proposal is motivated by the problem of not knowing how to draw a mind map or a concept map among the university students, despite the fact that most lecture materials at the university level is in slide-based format.

Fig. 2 shows the proposed architecture for presentation mapping. Based on this figure, the architecture is divided into two sections, which are the client side and the server side. The client side has a web interface and is marked with process 1 and process 4. The process begins with (1) uploading the PowerPoint slide, (2) applying the presentation mapping algorithm to filter and extract the keywords into text.
files, (3) generating the mind maps, and finally (4) displaying the maps.

Meanwhile, tasks carried out on the server side are marked with process 2 and process 3. Process 3 in particular extracts the content of PowerPoint slides based and then redraw in the form of presentation map using the structure-based presentation mapping techniques suggested in the paper. Shown in Fig. 3. However, this research focuses on two main layouts, which are ‘Title’ layout and ‘Title and Content’ layout. This is because both layouts involve text structure without any other object like image, video or animation.

Next, Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 show the structure of PowerPoint in the ‘Title’ slide layout and ‘Title and Content’ layout, respectively.

In order to generate text into a mind map, we need an algorithm that will identify and extract the text based on information specific to standard presentation slides such as the layout. In this research, we propose a structure-based algorithm that associates the presentation layout with the important keywords. Basically, the proposed algorithm takes PowerPoint slide as the text source and generates the map as an output.

In PowerPoint, there are nine slide layouts in total as shown in Fig. 3. However, this research focuses on two main layouts, which are ‘Title’ layout and ‘Title and Content’ layout. This is because both layouts involve text structure without any other object like image, video or animation.
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Given the font and text attributes from Table I and Table II, we propose a presentation mapping algorithm as shown in Fig. 6 to identify and extract the keywords. Other important attributes that are used to highlight keywords include font weight, use of bullets, numbering as well as letter case.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

A web interface with a file upload function is developed for the user to upload the PowerPoint file. This interface is also equipped with common validation steps such as checking the correct file type. The interface is shown in Fig. 7.

Back to process 1 in Fig. 2, the user is presented with an interface to upload the PowerPoint file into the server. When user clicks the Submit button, the extracted mind map will be shown in another frame as indicated by process 4 in Fig. 2. Fig. 8 shows sample of PowerPoint slides is uploaded to the system. In the slide with title ‘Characteristics’, there are three words that are bolded. This indicates highlights to keywords that are important to the materials in the presentation slides.

Next, Fig. 9 shows the resulting presentation mapping produced based on information extracted from slides in Fig. 8. From Fig. 9, we can see the main topic is chosen as the center of the concept map, followed by three first-level branches; Introduction, History, and Characteristics. Further, three keywords that are found highlighted bold in the third slide are also added as sub-branches within the branch Characteristics.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper has given a detailed account for a presentation mining algorithm that transforms content in a PowerPoint slide into mind maps, which is then used as a pedagogical tool for learning. This is in response to deteriorating effectiveness in slide-based PowerPoint presentation used by the generation of digital natives.

For future work, based on the proposed structure-based algorithm to map the presentation slides into mind map or concept map, we will also investigate what we call semantic-based approach. Recall that evidence from cognitive science has shown that graphical display does enhance learning [19]. The proposed Presentation Mapping algorithm is hoped to be used as part of teaching and learning tool among students and lecturers alike.
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