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Abstract—This review aimed at providing a comprehensive overview of how the flipped classroom can give the positive effects on developing students’ critical thinking skills. Data were collected from four databases, which included Google Scholar, ResearchGate, EBSCO, and Emerald. This study synthesized the findings of 16 studies published from 2015 to 2020. The results revealed that there were many learning activities that could be designed in a flipped classroom both outside and inside the classroom so that it allowed students to be actively involved in learning, flipped classrooms could also be integrated with other learning methods and utilize various technologies to increase their effectiveness in developing students’ critical thinking skills.

Index Terms—Critical thinking, flipped classroom, flipped learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

Flipped classroom (FC) is a form of blended learning which has become popular as a learning model [1], [2]. FC reverses the learning activities of learners, in which activities that are usually carried out in groups in the classrooms are diverted into outside classroom activities, and activities that are usually carried out outside of individual classes are diverted into the classroom activities. Learning activities outside the classroom are carried out before learning in the classroom with learning materials provided by educators with the help of information and communication technology, for example in the form of videos delivered through the Learning Management System (LMS), or other platforms. Class time that is normally used for listening to lectures is diverted to activities and application of knowledge, which are used as homework. Classroom activities can be in the form of problems solving, discussions, analyzes, and good interactions with other students [3]-[7].

A FC-based learning model allows educators to use more opportunities to involve students to apply their knowledge, and interact with educators and peers to share knowledge and ideas, so as to improve their higher-order thinking and communication skills [8]. Implementing FC, teachers can also facilitate students to develop critical thinking (CT) skills and increase participation in learning, achievement and satisfaction in learning [9], [8]. In addition, FC provides enough time for educators to provide more personal feedback and assistance to students, as well as receiving feedback from students and knowing what materials are not understood by the students [10].

FC can be applied at all levels of education. The application of FC, which was initially widely implemented in primary and secondary schools (K-12), is currently also being developed in universities [3], [11], [12]. FC has become popular in universities because of the advantages it can provide [13]. Based on many studies, it has been found that FC is more effective compared to traditional learning methods, and provides a significant difference in learning outcomes of learners [14]. This indicates that FC can create a deep learning environment, meaningful learning, development of CT, and advanced learning [13]. Previous studies reported that FC had a potential effects on promoting student’s CT [15].

The results of research on the application of FC and the development of CT have been published in many scientific journals. However, research on the applications of FC to develop CT has not been extensively conducted. Although previous reviews analyzed the applications of the FC, a literature review of the application of FC to develop CT in both high schools and colleges has not been published yet. There is a lack of literature review to examine the effects of FC on developing CT and cover all studies from 2015 to 2020. This review is intended to fill the above research gap.

II. DEVELOPING CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS

CT is a concept that is important to consider in education. This concept has been developed for centuries, and it has come to the conclusion that CT is a necessity for humans, so that everyone is encouraged to become a critical thinker [16]. In education, CT is not the only objective that is separated from other important parts and is seen as one of the most important competencies for students to develop [13]. CT as one of the skills needed in the 21st century is very important to develop especially to prepare students to be able to attend education at the next level and when they enter the world of work [17]. Therefore, it should become one of the responsibilities of teachers and material developers to provide opportunities for students to develop CT [16], through various subjects/courses. Learning should not only focus on mastering the material, but also need to provide space to develop various life skills needed by students.

There is a variety of CT definitions in many literature [18]. One of them was suggested by Facione [19]. He states that
CT is categorized as cognitive skill that essentially comprises self-regulation, inference, analysis, interpretation, explanation and evaluation. Thinking critically can be included in learning through various academic disciplines, by directing the focus of learning, so that it is more thinking-skills-based [20]. These skills are developed by involving students in active learning, engaging them in high-level problem-solving skills and giving them chances to participate in team activities [21].

Active learning is one of the characteristics of FC. Implementing FC, teachers can provide more time to integrate and apply the knowledge that students already have had, through a variety of student-centered and active learning strategies. Active learning is related with improved students’ academic performances, increased students’ engagements, CT, and better attitudes towards learning [9]. Based on this reason, FC is considered to have the potential to develop students’ CT.

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Research Questions

The purpose of this article is to provide an overview of how the application of FC can develop CT effectively. Therefore, the following research questions are proposed:

1) What learning activities and technology are used in FC to develop CT?
2) What teaching approaches are used in FC to develop CT?
3) How have the applications of FC influenced the development of CT?

B. Types of Research

This research was conducted through content analysis of 16 research articles on the application of FCs to develop CT skills in high schools and colleges, which have been published in scientific journals from 2015 to 2020. McMillan argues that content analysis is a research method that is usually applied in the social sciences and humanities. Content analysis, according to Creswell, is “... a method of studying the content of written texts, artifacts, images and recordings” [22]. This study uses content analysis because, according to Falkingham & Reeves, this method can analyze and interpret various published articles, including development categories, calculation of frequency, and stages of careful interpretation. Bauer also states that the use of content analysis can link data related to one another and analyze topics that readers can read efficiently, and can be used by researchers as a reference for further researches [22].

This content analysis is carried out by following certain steps. According to [23], content analysis refers to analyzing material which is carried out through a step-by-step process. The steps that must be taken are as follows: First, it formulates the material to be analyzed based on theoretical studies and research questions. Second, the researcher selects journal articles from various fields of study that discuss the development of CT skills using FC in high schools and higher education, published in 2015 to 2020. Third, the researcher analyzes the main topics that have been determined, including FC designs to increase CT skills of students in high schools and colleges and the measurement of students’ CT skills. The analysis results are interpreted using descriptive analysis, percentage, and frequency to interpret the findings and get the categories that are most often done in the CT skills development using FC. Fourth, the data are analyzed and reported systematically. Fifth, conclusions are made and suggestions for further research are made to contribute to the further discussions and research on developing CT skills using FC.

C. Literature Search and Selection

Literature has been searched through several sources, namely: Google Scholar, ResearchGate, Ebsco, and Emerald. The keywords used for searching are "flipped classrooms and critical thinking", "flipped learning and critical thinking", and "inverted classrooms and critical thinking". The range of years is from 2015 to 2020.

The articles were selected using inclusion and exclusion criteria [24]-[26]. The selected articles were those that had the following criteria: a) articles reporting the results of research on the application of FC to developing CT; b) researches carried out in high school or college levels; and c) empirical studies. Articles that were excluded were articles that: a) did not describe the learning process either outside the classroom or face to face in the classroom; b) were published in proceedings; and c) non-English articles. Based on these criteria, in the end, 16 articles were selected.

IV. RESULTS

The articles reviewed were the results of research conducted in junior high school [27], [28], senior high school [13], [29], [30], and higher education [8], [15], [31]-[39] levels in different countries (Hong Kong, South Africa, USA, Malaysia, Taiwan, England, Iran, Palestine, Vietnam, Indonesia, and Philippines). The results of the review are presented in Table 1 (the order of articles is based on the year of publication) and described based on the research questions, as follows:

A. Student Learning Activities and Technology Used in FC to Develop CT

In FC, student learning activities are divided into two parts, namely outside the classroom and inside the classroom. Most of the learning activities outside the classroom (pre-class) are carried out by watching video, and partly equipped with PowerPoint presentations, screen casts and podcasts, online content such as websites, articles, taking note, taking online quizzes, solving given problems, reviewing questions, computer simulation, and assignments. In addition, there are also those who study with online reading, making a mind map from audio and text, summarizing what they had learned, inquiring, identifying and proposing questions, previewing materials, reflecting on the learning process, and brainstorming topic scopes.

Learning activities that are mostly done in face to face flipped class are discussions and presentations. Other activities are solving more advanced problems, drawing mind maps, answering worksheet questions, practicing what has been learned from the online units, creating student’s own
concept, videos, quizzes, and reflection, and answering open ended questions.

Some articles described learning activities carried out by students after class or after each lesson, namely: post-task quizzes and self-reflection activities, online group discussions, extended learning in discussions and refining mind maps [27], determine the project topic, make a schedule, carry out the project, upload the project, and prepare for the next lesson [38], project work, and reflection [28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Level of education, year of students, course, and aim/research question</th>
<th>Learning activities and technology</th>
<th>Instruments, methods for analysis, and results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>Conducted in a junior secondary school students (N=124) in Hong Kong for three years, from grade 1 (aged 12 to 13) to grade 3 (aged 14 to 15), in Integrated Humanities subject. Aims: To explore the result of CT learners’ achievement when the CT development is incorporated into the teaching of subject with pedagogical and technological support.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class/“Online pre-Lesson Learning”$: (a) retrieve pre-lesson worksheets for understanding lesson goals and creating pre-lesson mind maps; (b) brainstormed topic scopes, draw mind maps, and read online readings. 2. In-class/“Lesson Learning”$: (a) access textbook, websites, and presentation files selected for group learning task and draw mind maps; (b) complete the worksheet guided by the paper-based textbook and the provided e-learning resources. 3. After each lesson/“Post-lesson Learning Consolidation”: (a) retrieve selected learning outputs and references for post-task quizzes and self-reflection activities, online group discussion; (b) extended learning discussions and refined mind maps. Technology: Mobile technology, the school-based e-learning platform, and Google Docs.</td>
<td>Instruments: Seven critical thinking tests and five semi-structured interviews with teachers and students. Analysis: Comparing means of students' learning outcomes from all critical thinking tests in the three-year study. Results: The students performed well in the tasks of identifying hypotheses, induction and deduction; and some achievements in the explanation and evaluation tasks. Students required more time to promote capacities of deduction, explanation and evaluation. Both teachers and students appreciated the pedagogical way of giving guidance for sharing groups of students to encourage the development of CT skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>Conducted at a South Africa university. The sample for this study was all the second year education students (N=28) with mathematics as a major, when they learned introductory algebra. Aims: To promote the CT dispositions of mathematics students, to develop their understanding of mathematical concepts, to foster responsibility for their understanding of knowledge and skills, and to provide chances for active learning through discovery and engagement with learning materials using FC.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: watch video, solve given problems. 2. In-class: discussion of difficult concepts, create student’s own concept videos (as a group activity), solve more advanced problems individually and in groups, reflect on their problem solving. Technology: The FC pedagogical approach and model was used as an intervention. Tools used for in-class/out-class activities didn’t mention in the article.</td>
<td>Instruments: Closed questionnaire (Likert scale). Analysis: Mixed method: A quantitative survey and qualitative research. Results: FC seems to be a viable teaching methodology for the math classes. FC contributes to the encouragement of CT dispositions through interaction, problem-solving strategies and preparation by the students. Students’ knowledge of mathematics had improved and FC supported their learning and higher-order cognitive skills. FC also encouraged the students to take responsibility for their own learning and students’ problem solving strategies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>Implemented at a college in New York, especially in Biology course. Research question: “Does the FC allow for more activities and assessments provided to CT?”</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: Watch video contained a note outline, PowerPoint slides, and review questions; answer the question displayed through Lecture Tools. 2. In-class: Review common misconceptions/the most difficult concepts and asked questions requiring higher-order thinking, and five graded case studies. Work together both in laboratory and lecture (practicing application of material). Teamwork included laboratory dissection, case studies, team assessment appeals, and team quizzes. Technology: Institution’s course management system (Canvas Institute), short YouTube animations, and Lecture Tools technology to display question to students.</td>
<td>Instrument: The level of critical thinking was assessed with comparing the exams of flipped model (in 2014) with a traditional lecture (in 2007 and 2011). Analysis: two-way ANOVA, t-test, and f-test. Result: A key finding of this research is that a FC approach allows more time provided to active learning and transitioning assessment questions to incorporate additional higher-order thinking activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>Conducted at a university in Taiwan, for the students taking Introductory Educational Psychology courses (N=85). The research question: What is the effect of facilitating CT using the “Collaborative Questioning, Reading, Answering, and Checking” (C-QRAC) collaboration script on immediate and delayed science reading literacy in collaborative learning with ICT supports in FC conditions? (1 of</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: introduction to CT (100 mins) and preview materials on brain functions, memory, and learning (week 1). 2. In-class: a. Week 2: test of prior knowledge (10 mins), Google Docs training (20 mins), the CT infused Q-RAC task (60 mins), break (10 mins), immediate science reading literacy test (20 mins). b. Week 3: delayed test and the follow-up</td>
<td>Instruments: 24 multiple-choice items and 4 constructed-response items. Analysis: Descriptive statistics, independent and paired samples t-tests, and repeated-measures analysis of variances (RM-ANOVA). Results: New insights in the design of FC to facilitate CT in science learning with ICT. Researchers suggest the application of the C-QRAC collaboration script to facilitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article</td>
<td>Level of education, year of students, course, and aim/research question</td>
<td>Learning activities and technology</td>
<td>Instruments, methods for analysis, and results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>Conducted at a college in Birmingham to analyze the effect of FC in three courses, namely: 1) Introductory cell and molecular biology course (N=52); 2) Intermediate-level evolutionary ecology course (N=27); 3) Intermediate/upper-level biochemistry course (N=21), with a majority being freshmen and sophomores. Aim: To assess the impact of flipped instruction and active learning on development of CT skills in undergraduate life science students using a valid and reliable measure of CT.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: watch videos and computer simulations as well as quizzes to introduce content. 2. In-class: case studies, small-group problem sets, model building, clicker-based think-pair-share strategies, process-oriented guided-inquiry learning, and targeted CT exercises. Technology: Web-based videos were used by each instructor to flip some aspect of the course in order to implement active-learning exercises during class meetings.</td>
<td>Instruments: Critical Thinking Assessment Test (CAT). The CAT results were compared with self-reported, perceived learning gains through a Likert-scale survey implemented through the Student Assessment of Learning Gains (SALG) site, both in a pretest/post-test design. Analysis: Significant differences (in mean pretest and post-test scores) were assessed using paired, two-tailed, Student’s t tests. Result: The application of active learning strategies in the FC can be beneficial for CT. Comparison of the mean pretest and post-test scores across the three courses revealed gains consistent with the interpretation that active learning in the FC enhances the development of CT skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>Conducted at a university in Iran, on the second-year bachelor’s nursing students who had signed up for the Musculoskeletal Medical-Surgical Nursing theoretical training course (N=85). Aim: To compare the effects of traditional lecture and FC on Palestinian nursing students’ CT disposition.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: Textbook, PowerPoint presentation slides and short video clips. 2. In-class: have a quiz with 3–5 questions and then conduct group discussions in groups of 3–5 students and information exchange among groups. Technology: DVDs consisting of electronic contents in PowerPoint presentation slide with recorded sound and short video clips.</td>
<td>Instruments: Demographic questionnaire and Ricketts’ CT Disposition Inventory on a Likert-scale (33 items), via pretest and post-test design. Analysis: Descriptive statistics measures and paired-sample t, independent-sample t, and Chi-square tests and also the multivariate analysis of variance. Result: FC group were significantly better than the traditional lecture group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>Conducted at a secondary school in Palestine, to grade 11 student in Physics course (N=108). Aim: To examine the effects of FC on Palestinian secondary school students’ CT skills.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: video lectures. 2. In-class: ask and answer question, discussion, presentation, shares ideas. Technology: Video lessons developed by the researcher through Facebook groups outside school hours.</td>
<td>Instruments: California CT Skills Test before (pretest) and after (post-test) the implementation of FC. Analysis: Descriptive and inferential statistics (t-tests and ANCOVA test) to determine the differences between the groups. Result: FC was effective compared to the traditional method, with respect to the students’ CT skill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>Conducted at a university in Malaysia in Accounting subject (N=49). Aim: To examine how the use of FC can help to improve communication and CT skills in problem solving among students taking the accounting subject.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: video lectures and questions on the topic to be discussed in class. 2. In-class: (a) reflections on the video and how they think FC will help to understand more on the topic; (b) presentations and discussions; (c) evaluation and analysis on the presentations and discussions; (d) reflections from the students by answering open ended questions. Technology: Videos uploaded to university’s Online Learning</td>
<td>Instruments: Lecturer and students reflection, observation, checklist, and interviews to the students. Analysis: These qualitative data were coded and analyzed using thematic analysis. Result: The use of FC has developed students’ communication and CT skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>Conducted at a university in Hawaii, United States, especially in Critical Thinking &amp; Analysis course (N=158). Aim: To examines a CT course developed as part of a General Education curriculum to enhance students’ CT skills.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: video lectures and take note, take the online quizzes. 2. In-class: practice what was learned from the online units (apply the skills and explore a central course theme), discussion. Technology: The online learning modules embedded in learning management system (LMS).</td>
<td>Instruments: 1) A short multiple-choice test (pre and post-test); 2) The California Critical Thinking Skills Test (CCTST); and 3) Focus groups given orally with the audio recorded (to investigate what students thought of the FC model). Analysis: Paired t-test, an independent two sample t-test, and a linear regression. Result: FC and mixed model was an effective method for improving students’ CT skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>Implemented in Vietnamese high school for Grade 11 students using thematic teaching on the topic “The Use of Cosmetics in School - Risks to be Learned”. Aim: To present such FC model and its application in the thematic teaching to promote CT for learners.</td>
<td>FC model: 1. Introduce task: Presentation of tasks, introduction of achievements and preparation of learning activities. 2. Out-of-class Task: Students study the learning contents including screencasts and podcasts, PowerPoint slides, or use online material such as websites, videos, and images.</td>
<td>Instruments: Homework, in-class tasks and specific situations tasks. Result: Students can better regulate their learning and understanding with their peers’ views so that when students give their opinions they will be able to give sound evidence, not only accepting the reasoning of other individuals. It means that students...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article</td>
<td>Level of education, year of students, course, and aim/research question</td>
<td>Learning activities and technology</td>
<td>Instruments, methods for analysis, and results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>Conducted in English course at a college in Taiwan. The participants: First-year college students (N=72). Aims: To explore the influence of concept mapping-based FC as a listening-speaking strategy on learning achievement, English as a foreign language (EFL) learners’ CT awareness and EFL speaking anxiety.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: Watch videos on Moodle and posted their reflections. 2. In-class: an interactive discussion over meaning interpretation and content clarification, construction of a concept map with peers, group presentations, and doing individual oral reports in front of class. Technology: Moodle providing access to lecture documents, discussion, homework, and examinations from the Internet.</td>
<td>Instruments: 1) Listening comprehension tests (25 multiple choice items); 2) Speaking tests (3 sets of questions); 3) The survey questionnaire of the students’ critical thinking; 4) Speaking anxiety questionnaire (12 items); 5) The Closeness Index Concept-Mapping for Scoring. Analysis: Covariance (ANCOVA) and paired-samples t test. Results: Concept mapping-based FC significantly improved students’ learning performance and CT awareness, and minimized speaking anxiety in an EFL setting compared to the conventional-based FC instruction. There were correlations between concept mapping, learning performance, and CT. A significant negative relationship between speaking anxiety and the other variables was also revealed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Conducted at a higher education in Indonesia. The participants were students in Science Education (N=38). The material was The Substance Change. Aims: To know the effect of the FC and the project-based learning model on student’s CT ability.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: (a) begin with the essential question, learn video; (b) collect data, make a project. 2. In-class: (a) present and share the problem, make a plan for the project; (b) present the product. 3. After class: (a) determine the project topic, make a schedule, (b) carry out the project, upload the project, prepare for the next lesson. Technology: Video and website provided by the lecturer.</td>
<td>Instruments: Pretest and post-test, observation, and questionnaire. Analysis: A paired sample t-test to compare mean scores of pre-test and post-test. Results: The combination of FC and Project-Based Learning Model give a positive effect on student’s CT ability. The models supported an effective, interesting, and the student-centered learning process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>Conducted at a university in Carolina, United States, especially in a third-semester German language course (N=12). Aims: To propose using the FC approach to promote critical cultural awareness by developing cross-cultural CT skills.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: (a) studying the content presented on worksheet and entered the information gleaned from their preparation at home, (b) studying additional materials, such as supplemental reading/PowerPoint slides and video, available on Blackboard, LMS. 2. In-class: group activities (e.g., cultural discussions, compare the answer in the worksheet to other teams), and the instructor provided answer as needed. Technology: Worksheets, hyperlinked on the worksheets and the institutional LMS.</td>
<td>Instruments: Two surveys conducted in four weeks into the semester and two weeks prior to end of the semester. Analysis: descriptive and reflective analysis. Results: FC approach gives chances to promote the higher-order thinking skills which are important to enhance cross-cultural critical awareness. CT about another culture also encourages critical awareness of one’s own culture, along with its advantages and disadvantages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>Conducted at a private language institute in Iran. The participants were the intermediate English as a Foreign Language (EFL) learners (N=40), with age range from 14 to 16. Aims: To know the effects of FC on improving Iranian EFL learners’ listening comprehension and CT.</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: Watch videos and online quizzes. 2. In-class: (a) focus on receiving students response by recalling the basic knowledge (by answering or asking question, online quiz) (15-20 mins); (b) focus on engaging students with active learning and CT (e.g., 3-2-1, conversations, write-pair-share, idea mapping, comparison/sharing of notes, jigsaw, minute documents, teaching learning, three-step interview, fishbowl). 3. Post-class: project work and reflection. Technology: Internet, computer, and projector. Participants used their smartphones during learning.</td>
<td>Instruments: 1) Oxford Quick Placement Test (OQPT); 2) listening comprehension pretest and post-test (40 items); 3) six questions for interview; and 4) critical thinking questionnaire using Likert-scale (30 items). Analysis: Independent and paired sample t-test. The CT mean scores was compared using paired sample t-test. Result: There were significant differences in learning English listening comprehension and CT between experimental (FC) and control group (traditional classroom).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Conducted at a nursing university in Northern Taiwan. The subjects were the students who took Neonatal Health Care Training (Nursing case-based training course) (N=36). The research question: Can the RSI</td>
<td>1. Pre-class: Recognize the key problems/concepts and take notes by watching online instructional videos, summarize what they had learned and identified, propose questions, think diversely, inquire by in-depth thinking or</td>
<td>Instruments: Pre-questionnaires and post-questionnaires (Likert-scale). Analysis: ANCOVA to compare students’ CT skills of the two groups. Results: The students’ CT scores of the experimental group were significantly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Teaching Approaches Used in Flipped Classroom to Develop CT

Several approaches (including strategies, models, methods), applied in FC to support the development of CT, included “Collaborative Questioning, Reading, Answering, and Checking” (C-QRAC) collaboration script [33], concept mapping-based flipped learning approach [37], RSI (Recognize, Summarize, Inquire)-based flipped approach [8], project-based learning model [38], active learning through discovery and involvement with materials [31], and active learning exercises [34], “…combining collaborative learning with the technique of using Socrates' questioning method and Bloom's taxonomy in designing homework and in-class activities” [13]. One study emphasizes pedagogical and technological (mobile technology) supports [27].

C. The Influences of the Applications of FC on Developing CT

In general, the reviewed articles reported that the application of FC can improve CT. Through quasi and true experimental research design, the result of pre-test and post-test revealed that CT tests score of experiment group, which used FC instruction, statistically significant different form the control group, which used conventional/traditional instruction [15], [29], [37], [28], [30]. In the quasi experimental with one group time series design showed that after the implementation of the FC and project-based learning model, the average CT score of post-test was significantly different from the pre-test [38].

The assessment of CT via pre-test and post-test after implementing active learning in FC [34] and explicit CT teaching methods with the flipped design showed that there was a statistically significant increase between pre-test and post-test scores [36]. The study with a single-group, post-test-only design reported that FC pedagogical approach and model contributed to the encouragement of CT dispositions through interaction, problem-solving strategies and the students’ preparation [31]. Other studies using qualitative action research methodology [35] and explorative study [8] also gave the results that students’ CT skills have been increased with the implementation of FC.

V. DISCUSSION

This literature review analyzes the application of FC to develop student CT in high schools and colleges which is applied to various disciplines, including integrated humanities, mathematics, biology, educational psychology, ecology, biochemistry, nursing, accounting, thematic, science education, physics, English, and German language. Several research methods were used to determine the influences of FC on CT. Of all the articles reviewed, it was reported that the application of FC had a positive influence on the development of students’ CT, and it was more effective than the traditional classroom.

Active learning and learner-centered strategies are required to promote critical thinking skills [15]. Based on previous literature reviews, FC approach develops an active learning environment [40]. From the results of the review it is known that by implementing FC there are various learning activities that can be designed for students both outside and inside the classroom. Learning activities outside the classroom as the preparation for face-to-face meetings in class, gives students the opportunity to learn actively. Thus, FC can be designed to produced a meaningful and active learning experience, not only in-class as it happens in traditional FC, but also in out-of-class learning [41]. The literature has showed the importance of the pre-class stage in FCs. Several researchers have pointed that students’ pre-class learning status could influence their in-class learning outcomes, including the learning tasks related to problem solving and CT [13]. The discussion and activities used the outside classroom activities to provide opportunities for the students to develop their CT [30].

By reversing learning activities, FC has sufficient time in the classroom to facilitate learning that involves students actively, through various learning activities as found in this review. The data of a research verify that the FC approach
allowed more time for a transition of assessment questions and activities focusing on CT [32]. In a FC, the instructor’s lecturing time is minimized whereas activities are designed to activate CT through peer collaboration [33]. Most of the class time is focused on active learning strategies for problem solving, case studies, labs, games, simulations, experiments, collaborating and engaging with peers, and evaluating their progress [31], [34], [42]. Many studies have found that students need instructional activities with active learning to practice CT skills. The flipped model can work well to teach CT by bringing active learning into the classroom. Active learning is a process that encourages analysis, synthesis, and evaluation of the course content through student engagement in activities, such as discussion, problem solving, reading, or writing [36].

From the articles reviewed it was found that some researchers integrated specific learning approaches to support the development of CT. In practice, several flipped models with different focuses have been designed and implemented in many disciplines [40]. In implementing FC, teachers have the opportunity to determine learning strategies that are appropriate to the learning environment and student characteristics. Therefore, to optimize its potential in creating effective learning, FC can be integrated in one or more other teaching strategies [43], for example, problem-based learning, collaborative learning, and inquiry-based learning [40]. By utilizing technology teachers can modify the conventional FC to provide various content delivery [2] so that this becomes an innovative FC model [40]. This also the FLIPPED model that provide progressive activities, engaging experiences, and diversified platforms [44]. Various learning activities carried out by students both at the outside class and in-class, as well as the integration of various strategies in FC can increase student involvement and create active learning that supports the development of CT. Learning activities that provide students the opportunity to learn at their own pace, allow them to collaborate with their classmates, and let them raise concerns and clarifications in a timely manner can be considered as effective ways to develop their CT (30). Thus, FC is a potential learning model to encourage the development of CT skills in a comprehensive manner by emphasizing student-centered learning. This happens because the learning process in FC is determined by the preparations made by students outside the classroom [27].

From this review it is believed that FC is a strategy that is capable of developing CT effectively, but this study is only limited to the results of researches that meet the criteria for this review. There are several other research results on the influences of FC on the development of CT that give different results. These quasi-experimental studies [45]-[49] reported that there was no statistically significant difference between the class using FC and the traditional class on CT. FC is no more effective than traditional classes in improving CT. Although some of these studies have shown that FC was not a significant factor in increasing CT, it has been recognized that FC has a positive impact on several other variables, such as peer interaction, student's openness, and student engagement.

Although some of these studies showed that FC was not an effective strategy in improving CT, this literature review provides a complete description as well as a logical explanation of how FC can improve CT effectively. This information is useful for future studies that will implement FC to develop CT. In addition, the description also provides insights to help discover new, unresearched and important topics to discuss related to FC implementation.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study has showed that FC is an effective learning model for developing CT both in high schools and in higher education level. In order to optimally develop CT, FC needs to be designed so that it can create active learning by determining various learning activities both outside and inside the classroom, integrating other methods, and utilizing technology. Future research could investigate the other influences of FC that have not been widely studied, for example on student learning responsibilities and student self-regulation.
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