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Abstract—The purpose of this study is to identify the effectiveness of graphic design learning module based on technology and deaf student learning styles towards deaf students’ comprehension and skills using parametric test. Deaf students require a different learning approach compared to normal students in ensuring their mastery in specific skills. Undoubtedly, sign language has become an impediment in delivering message when some technical terms were not able be interpreted to sign language. The available language interpreters are on voluntary basis and are restricted to time, distant location and skills. To add to this, deaf student have weak memory to remember the lesson. In evaluating them, quiz has been used to evaluate their understanding through parametric testing paired sample t test. While project-type assignment has been used to evaluate skills through parametric testing one sample t test. Findings of the study a significant difference in pretest (mean = 15.54, S.D = 1.989, n = 15) and posttest (mean = 78.15, S.D = 2.832, n = 15) for all topics. Subsequently, posttest towards project shows significant difference on skills achievement (t(15) = 23.822, p < .05) especially on high inference value compared to others topics. In conclusions, parametric testing proves that graphic design learning module based on technology and deaf student learning styles towards deaf student comprehension and skills are significant.

Index Term—Parametric, graphic design, deaf students, technology, learning styles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hearing disability was categorized as difficulties in hearing and deaf. If someone was not able to hear at all, he/she will be categorized as deaf [1]. Level of hearing is measured by desibel (dB). Level of hearing for typical people is around 60dB to 65dB [2]. In Malaysia, approximately one percent from total population is disable people (387, 149 people) [3]. Currently, average Malaysians registered as Malaysia Sign Language users is 55 000 (0.2%) people [4]. Still, Malaysia has a lower deaf population compared to other Asean countries like Indonesia 2 million (1%) and Japan 7 million (5.5%). However, Malaysia is higher than others Asean countries like Thailand 54 thousand (0.1%), Taiwan 30 thousand (0.1%), Sri Lanka 12 thousand (0.1%) and Singapore 3 thousand (0.1%). In the developing countries, existing deaf people will give impact to the economy. This happen when children did not get proper education [1]. According to [5] research, the programs on Information Communication Technology (ICT) like web design, animation and multimedia application have attracted many deaf students. All these programs are part of graphic design program fields [6]. Even though Malaysia have some higher education institutions that offer graphic design programs, but the special learning approach for the deaf students is still less. According to the deaf students’ blog dan interview, deaf students face problems in their learning in higher institution [7]. Graphic design is combination of two important core elements which are comprehension and skills. Mastery of these aspects are relevant to produce competent graphic designers. However, this requires practices to ensure their cognitive functions accurately [6], [8]. Therefore, deaf students required different learning approach compared to normal students. Past research show that the deaf students have difficulties in learning because of the learning method does not meet their learning styles. Hence, it is important to indentify the uniqueness of deaf students learning styles to achieve meaningful learning [9]-[12]. In addition, past research have proven that teaching with prefered deaf students learning styles will enhance the effectiveness and motivations [13]. On the other hand, communication is another problem faced by deaf students. According to [14], it is estimated that 25% deaf students’ achievement is influenced by age, language and others exclude different learning styles. Research conducted by [15] highlighted the importance of digital sign language for communication in deaf learning. Besides, [16] mentioned that deaf students have a very limited memory compared normal students. Therefore, instead of solely relying on sign language, information in visuospatial form is recommended [17], [18]. In short, the purpose of the current study is to use parametric test to evaluate the comprehension and skills of the deaf students after the implementation of graphic design learning module based on technology and deaf learning styles.

II. LEARNING MODULE

In this research, graphic design module based on technology and deaf learning styles was used. The module contains 5 topic which are developed through adaptation of instructional model [19], [20] and Waterfall model by [21]. The end module is equipped with video learning and is developed by construct communication model [22] for bi-language by combining sign language and texts. This module is also complemented with learning according to deaf
students learning styles. In doing so, they are required to identify their own learning styles through administration of the online instrument in the module. Then, students need to follow the instruction according to the their learning styles to study these five topics.

III. PARAMETRIC TEST

Parametric test constitutes of valid statistic evaluation which is based on mean and standard deviation for normal distribution data [23]. However, if data distribution is abnormal then nonparametric test will be used [statistic book]. This research used t test as one of the parametric tests. Different t test will be use based on the sample. Paired sample t test have been used for deaf students comprehension evaluation because there have two sample of pretest and posttest. While one sample t test has been use for deaf students skills evaluation because only have one posttest.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This research employs exploratory experimental research design to evaluate deaf students’ comprehension and skills. Researchers focus on formative evaluation because the improvement is necessary to identify the quality of graphic design module requirements. According to [24], a module needs to be improved in achieving significant results. In this module, the deaf student will learn all five topics, namely introduction of graphic animation, graphic animation framework, graphic animation composition, making graphic animation and graphic animation documentation. This module is developed to promote student-centered learning. Thus, the students will learn independently without any help from instructors. However, the students still have freedom to seek assistance from the instructors if necessary.

Pretest on deaf students comprehension in all topics was administered using online quiz in the module. Pretest quiz was conducted before the students learn the 5 topics available in the graphic design module based on technology and deaf learning styles. Each topic has 10 questions and students have 10 minutes to answer each topic given. After completed the lesson, deaf students required to sit for a posttest. The same questions were asked but in random order. The purpose of the posttest is to compared the comprehension of deaf student after completing the lesson. The researchers run the normality testing to ensure the data was normally distributed. Then, paired sample t tests were use to analyse the pretest and posttest by comparing the mean, standard daviation and t value.

For the evaluation of skills, deaf students need to complete one assignment for each topic. They were given one week to complete the task and uploaded into graphic design module submission page for each topic. All assignments were evaluated by the appointed instructor. After running the normality testing, one sample t test was used to analysed the data and to see the deaf students achievement.

V. SAMPLES

All fifteen deaf students from graphic design program at Ibrahim Sultan Polytechnic, Johor Baharu were selected through purposive sampling technique. Researchers followed the research procedure by asking permission from Ministry of Education Malaysia to conduct this study. Parental consent was a priority to this study and was obtained first. The students were not divided into different groups as advised by the institution regarding sensitive issue.

VI. FINDINGS

A. Normality Test for Pretest and Posttest

Normality testing was run to sure the data were normally distributed. The result shows (p < .05) [25], [26] and Q-Q plots are normal by male and female with Skewness 0.551 (SE = 0.752) and Kurtosis -0.665 (SE = 1.481) for male and Skewness 1.245 (SE = 0.913) and Kurtosis 0.947 (SE = 2.00) for female. The z-scores value indicated confident intervals in the range of (-1.96 ≤ z ≤ 1.96). Below are Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 the Q-Q plots for the pretest on comprehension.

For the normality testing on posttest, the p value is significant where (p < .05) [25], [26] and Q-Q plots are normal for male and female with Skewness 0.307 (SE = 0.752) and Kurtosis -0.815 (SE = 0.550) for male and Skewness 0.821 (SE = 0.913) and Kurtosis -0.424 (SE = 2.000) for female. The z-scores value indicate confident intervals in the range of (-1.96 ≤ z ≤ 1.96). Below are Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 the Q-Q plots for the posttest on comprehension.
B. Pretest and Posttest on Comprehension Evaluation

Next is discussion on results of pretest and posttest statistic score. The findings showed a positive improvement on the deaf students comprehension achievement. Majority of the deaf students indicated higher mean on posttest compared to pretest for each topics.

Table I indicates mean and standard deviation value between pretest and posttest for introduction of graphic animation topic. Posttest showed positive improvement in mean and sd (M = 3.077, SD = 1.450) from pretest in mean and sd (mean = 13.077, S.D = 2.660, n = 15). This shows significant achievement after using the graphic design module based on technology and deaf learning styles.

Table II shows mean and standard deviation for pretest and posttest on graphic animation framework topic. This figure shows positive improvement from pretest of (mean=3.077, S.D = 1.320, n = 15) to posttest of (mean = 15.384, S.D = 2.218, n = 15). Meaning that deaf students show a significant improvement after using the graphic design learning module.

In Table III, the mean of pretest is 3.230 and posttest is 15.230 for the graphic animation composition topic. This represents a positive improvement from pretest result of (mean = 3.230, S.D = 2.242, n = 15) to posttest of (mean = 15.230, S.D = 2.773, n = 15). The results show significant achievement after using the graphic design learning module.

Table IV shows mean of pretest is 3.846 and posttest is16.462 for the making graphic animation topic. This represents a positive improvement from pretest result of (mean = 3.846, S.D = 2.230, n = 15) to posttest of (mean=16.462, S.D = 2.025, n = 15). The results show significant achievement after using the graphic design learning module.

Table VI shows mean different of pretest and posttest is 15.54 and 78.15 for all topic. This indicates a positive increment for all topic from the pretest results of (mean = 15.54, S.D = 1.989, n = 15) to posttest of (mean=78.15, S.D = 2.832, n = 15). The results show significant achievement after using the graphic design module based on technology and deaf learning styles for all topics.

In Table VII, mean score between pretest and posttest for all topics shows significant different. It was proven when p value is .000 which less then .05 (p < .05). In Addition, 95%
different confident interval (59.851, 65380), (11.221, 13.394), (11.145, 12.855), (11.470, 13.760) and (11.994, 15.391) shows pretest and posttest have significant different when posttest shows deaf students achievement increased. However, comparative mean for each topic shows introduction of graphic animation has lower mean value which is 11.538 compared to others topic while graphic animation documentation indicate higher mean value of 13.692. This is because the first topic involves memory on history such date, place, terms compared to other topics which emphasize on practical questions. These finding approved the findings of previous research conducted by [16], [27], which indicated that deaf students have low memory capability especially to remember date, place and sequence. Specifically, the introduction of graphic animation topic contains historical information regarding the animation origins. This topic also includes classical terms that share almost identical definition and thus, might cause confusion among deaf students. On the other hand, Topic 4 has the second higher mean value 12.615, and S.D = 1.895, n = 15) followed by second and third topic that have mean value =12.308, and S.D = 1.797, n = 15) and (mean = 12.000, S.D = 1.414, n = 15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic 1. Posttest-Pretest</th>
<th>Paired Sample t Test</th>
<th>95% DifferentConfident Interval</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig. (2-Tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (S.D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic 1. Posttest-Pretest</td>
<td>11.538 2.184</td>
<td>10.219 12.858</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic 2. Posttest-Pretest</td>
<td>12.308 1.797</td>
<td>11.221 13.394</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic 5. Posttest-Pretest</td>
<td>13.692 2.810</td>
<td>11.994 15.391</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduceion of Graphic Animation</th>
<th>One Sample t Test</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig. (2-Tailed)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.290</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>10.462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic Animation Framework</td>
<td>16.511</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>15.846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic Animation Composition</td>
<td>15.867</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>16.154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making Graphic Animation</td>
<td>15.714</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>16.923</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic Animation Documentation</td>
<td>23.822</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>17.385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Normality Test for Posttest

Normality testing was run to ensure the data was normally distributed. The findings showed p < .05 and is significant [25], [26] and are normal with Skewness -0.589 (SE = 0.580) and Kurtosis -1.410 (SE = 1.121). The z-scores value indicate confident intervals in the range of (-1.96 ≤ z ≥ 1.96). Below Fig. 5 are Q-Q plots for the posttest on skills.

D. Posttest on Skills Evaluation

Besides pretest and posttest on deaf comprehension evaluation, researcher also conducted a posttest on the skills evaluation from five different topics. One sample t test was used to map the difference in achievement from the assignment given.

In Table VIII, sample (n = 15) shows an achievement in introduction of graphic animation, graphic animation framework, graphic animation composition, making graphic animation and graphic animation documentation. Findings showed significant improvement in all topic, but graphic animation documentation has the highest mean and is significant, where t =2 3.822. Topic 5 is the least topic provided in this graphic design learning module and it showed that the deaf students mastery comprehension and skills. Topic 4 remained as second higher achievement with significant value of (mean  = 16.923, p < .05). Next, topic 3 also achieved significant value of (mean = 16.154, p < .05) as well as topic 2 with significant value of (mean = 16.923, p < .05). Topics 1 remained as the lower rank on the deaf skills achievement.
VII. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, parametric evaluation shows pretest and posttest on comprehension and skills towards 15 deaf students for all topics have significant different after using graphic design module based on technology and deaf students learning styles. In addition, statistics analysis showed comprehension and skills were significantly different. Topic 1 recorded lower significant difference for both comprehension and skills results compared to other topics. Even though the deaf students used graphic design module based on technology and learning styles, they were still weak in remembering. However, others topics showed similar significant difference for both comprehension and skills. The researchers suggest future research to emphasize on remembering development for topic that involves theory. Also, it is recommended to employ big sample size and to make a comparison to other deaf students’ learning context. In turn, it is hoped that these findings will add flesh to research on deaf students in higher education institutions.
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