Educational Data Mining Techniques Approach to Predict Student’s Performance

Annisa Uswatun Khasanah and Harwati

Abstract—Predicting student’s performance is one way that can be conducted by university to monitor their student to prevent student failed. Student final GPA is one parameter that must be full fill by student to graduate from university and it can be used to measure student’s performance. Educational Data Mining is popular techniques to predict student’s performance. This study tried to implement two popular data mining clustering and classification analysis to predict student’s performance. K-means algorithm is used since it is very popular and easy to be implemented clustering algorithm. Linear Regression and Support Vector Machine (SVM) then used to predict the final GPA since the attributes used in this study is numerical data. The clustered data and non-clustered data were evaluated in the classification analysis and the MSE was compared. The result showed that clustered data had smaller RMSE and Linear Regression was better than SVM.

Index Terms—Student, performance prediction, educational data mining.

I. INTRODUCTION

Data mining is the process of automatically discovering useful information in large data repositories [1]. Data mining have been applied in many different scopes such as engineering, medical, marketing and also education. Educational Data Mining Techniques is the implementation of data mining techniques in education domain. There have been increasing number of researches interest in educational data mining especially to predict student performance [2]–[5].

Student performance plays important rule to measure the quality of the students, moreover in this current condition where universities operate in high competitive environment. In Indonesia, there was a rapid increase in the number of college since 2005 [6]. While in Yogyakarta, which is popular as a student city, in 2015 there were 130 colleges including academy, polytechnic, institute, university and others [7]. Predicting the student performance is one way that can be conducted by university to monitor their student to prevent student failed.

Student’s performance prediction can be done by implementing popular data mining techniques such as classification method. Classification can be defined as the task of assigning objects to one of several predefined categories [1]. Lot of scholars have already applied classification method in educational data mining. Mueen et al. [8] used three different data mining classification algorithm (Naïve Bayes, Neural Network using Multilayer Perception with back-propagation type supervised-learning algorithm, and Decision Tree) to predict course final exam of the students, and Naïve Bayes was outperforming the other algorithm. Kabakchieva [9] used Decision tree classifier, Bayes classifiers and a Nearest Neighbour classifier to predict student’s performance in Bulgarian University based on personal and pre-university characteristics. Ahmed and Elaraby [10] used decision tree (using ID3 algorithm) to predict the final grade mark of students in Information System department. Yadav and Pal [4] used C4.5, ID3 and CART decision tree algorithms to predict student’s performance in the final exam. The result showed that C4.5 technique has highest accuracy.

This study will implement two data mining techniques, clustering analysis and classification analysis. The student data from Industrial Department, Universitas Islam Indonesia was firstly clustered. K-means algorithm was used since K-means is popular and easy to be implemented algorithm [11]. After the optimum number of cluster has be defined, the classification will be applied. Linear Regression and Support Vector Machine (SVM) were used since all of the attributes used in this study were numerical data. The clustered and non-clustered data will be evaluated in classification step, then the results based on Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) will be compared. The rest of this paper is organized as follows, Section II present the literature review, Section III presents the research methods related to this study, Section IV shows the results and discussion. The concluding is finally made in Section V.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. K-Means Algorithm

K-means [12] is one of the most popular and widely used clustering technique [11], [13] that firstly proposed by MacQueen in 1967 [14]. K-means is easy to be implemented and it also well known for its computational efficiency in large data set [11], [13]. It is also mentioned by Verma et al. [15], K-Means algorithm is faster than other clustering algorithm and also produces quality clusters when using huge dataset.

Described by Tan et al. [1], the K-means algorithm starts with K cluster centroids, which are initially randomly selected or derived from some a priori information. Each point in data set is then assigned to the closest centroid, and each collection of points assigned to a centroid is a cluster. The centroid of each cluster is then updated based on the point assigned to each cluster. This process is repeated until no point change clusters, or equivalently, until the centroids
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remain the same. K-means algorithm can be further discussed as follows:

1) determine the number of cluster, K,
2) generate K cluster centroids randomly,
3) calculate the Euclidean distance using this following equation,

\[ d(X_i, Z_j) = \sqrt{(X_i - Z_j)^2} \]  

where Xi is the coordinate of the data and Zj is the coordinate of the cluster centroid.
4) assign each data point to the cluster that the distance between cluster centroid and the data point is the smallest,
5) recalculate the new centroids,
6) repeat until the centroids do not change.

There have been lot of scholars who implemented K-means algorithm such as Chandhok et al. [16], Yao et al. [17] and Moftah et al. [18] who successfully implemented K-means for image segmentation. Oyelade et al. [19] implemented K-means algorithm for analyzing students data of a private Institution in Nigeria, while Jaganathan and Jaiganesh [20] used K-means algorithm in web document clustering.

B. Linear Regression

Linear regression is an approach for modeling the relationship between dependent variable Y and one or more explanatory variables denoted X. This method has been also widely used as prediction method, such as Nguyen et al. who implemented Linear Regression to predict author age. Naseem et al. [21] implemented Linear Regression for face recognition, Antoch et al. [22] implemented Linear Regression to predict electrical consumption in Sardinia, Jung et al. [23] used Linear Regression to predict cancer incident and mortality in Korea, Melo-Espinosa et al. [24] implemented Linear Regression in surface tension prediction to know the relationship between surface tension of different vegetable oils and their fatty acid composition, while Chen [25] used Linear Regression to predict concrete compressive strength of Electric Arc Furnace Oxidizing slag.

C. Support Vector Machine

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is one of machine learning technique that can solve big data classification problem [26]. SVM works very well with high dimensional data and avoid the curse of dimensionality problem [1]. SVM are a set of maximum-margin classifier that minimize the classification error and maximize the geometric margin [27]. SVM has been implemented by scholars in many different scopes. Bauer et al. [28] implemented SVM for brain tissue segmentation to predict brain tumor. Wang et al. [29] proposed a color image segmentation using pixel wise SVM. Hu et al. [30] classifying species of fish in China based on color and texture features and using a multi-class support vector machine (MSVM). Zhang and Wu [31] used SVM to classified fruits using computer vision. Zhang et al. [32] used Kernel Support Vector Machine Decision Tree to distinguish among elderly subjects with Alzheimer’s disease (AD), mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and normal controls (NC) based on Structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging. While, Shi et al. [33] proposed algorithms to forecast power output of photovoltaic systems in China based upon weather classification and SVM

III. RESEARCH METHOD

The research flow chart for this study followed the Cross Industry Standard Process for Data Mining (CRISP-DM) framework. This framework was firstly developed in late 1996 [34]. The CRISP-DM methodology is described in terms of a hierarchical process model, consisting of sets of tasks. CRISP-DM provides a non-proprietary and freely available standard process for fitting data mining into the general problem-solving strategy of a business or research unit. There are six phases in CRISP-DM, including: Business Understanding, Data Understanding, Data Preparation, Modelling, Evaluation, and Deployment as shown in Fig 1.

The business process describes the background and objectives of this study as discussed in introduction in Section I. Data understanding explain the initial data. Data in this research were collected from student data base that can be accessed from Universitas Islam Indonesia’s information system (UNISYS). There are four attributes used in this study as follows,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I: ATTRIBUTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior high school grade (SHSG) (in scale 0-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance in first semester (ATT) (in %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA in first semester (GPA1) (in scale 0-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final GPA (FGPA) (in scale 0-4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FGPA is the final GPA when the students graduated or drop out. It was used as the parameter for the student’s performance. SHSG, ATT, and GPA1 used to estimate the new student performance (FGPA) based in their first semester. After the data were collected, the data was cleaned and transformed in Data Preparation Step. The initial data consisted of 178 data of student in academic year 2007 and after cleaning the data, it is only 104 data set available.

The next step is Modelling step. The student data with three attributes exclude the FGPA were clustered with K-means algorithm. To estimate the student performance, the clustered data and non-clustered data were applied in the classification (estimation) model using Linear Regression (LR) and Support Vector Machine (SVM), then the result were compared. This result that will be evaluated whether the model in fact achieves the objectives set or not. The Modelling and Evaluations step will further discuss in Section IV. The results of the study will be summarized in the Section V. Software Rapid Miner was used to apply the clustering and classification (estimation) model.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The correlation matrix is presented in the Table II before conducting further analysis to explain the correlation between attributes SHSG, ATT, GPA1 and FGPA.

Bold value represent that those values are smaller that alpha (0.050) which indicates a probably significant difference between the actual mean value. It means that both of the attributes have correlation. It can be concluded from
the correlation matrix that ATT and GPA1 has high positive correlation with FGPA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>SHSG</th>
<th>ATT</th>
<th>GPA1</th>
<th>FGPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHSG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STT</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA1</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGPA</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 shows correlation between ATT, GPA1 and FGPA. It can be seen that the higher the student’s attendance, they tend to have higher GPA1. Students who are diligent and have a good GPA in the first semester, they tend to have good final GPA.

A. Clustering Using K-Means

In this step, students were segmented based on three attributes (SHSG, ATT, GPA1) using K-means algorithm. The number of K used in this study are 3, 4, and 5. The cluster performance evaluated based on Davies Bouldin Index. DBI is one of measurement that can be used to find K-optimum in clustering algorithm such as K-means [35]–[37]. Lower DBI indicates better result.

![Fig. 1. Research framework.](image1)

**TABLE III: DAVIES BOULDIN INDEX FOR CLUSTERING RESULT EVALUATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of cluster</th>
<th>DBI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on DBI that shown in Table III, it can be evaluated that the K-optimum is 4 represented with the smallest number of DBI. The clustering analysis results with 4 cluster including the cluster profile are represented in Table IV.

**TABLE IV: CLUSTERING RESULT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>SHSG</th>
<th>ATT</th>
<th>GPA1</th>
<th>Cluster member</th>
<th>Cluster Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>smart enough and quite diligent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>smart and diligent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>not smart and not diligent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>smart enough but diligent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The profile of each cluster is represented with the average value (mean) of each attributes. It can be concluded that the biggest cluster is cluster 2 which is dominated with smart and diligent students. These results then perform in estimation step.

B. Estimation Using Linear Regression and Support Vector Machine

In this study, the estimation step was performed by implementing LR and SVM algorithm. The purpose of this step is to compare the estimation accuracy between non clustered data from the original data and clustered data from clustering analysis. 10-fold cross validation was used to perform both of the classification algorithm and RMSE was used to evaluate the estimation results. Table V shows the RMSE from both algorithms for each non clustered and clustered data.

![Fig. 2. Correlation graph for ATT GPA1 AND FGPA.](image2)

**TABLE V: RMSE FOR CLASSIFICATION RESULT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>SVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no cluster</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>2.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 cluster</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that the classification results from clustered data is better than non-clustered data. It also can be concluded that LR is outperform SVM.

V. CONCLUSION

From the study that have conducted, it can be concluded that all the algorithm used can achieve the objective of the study. The optimum number of cluster can be defined after implementing K-means and it was 4 clusters. And it also can be concluded that cluster the data first before doing the classification analysis can minimize the RMSE. The results also showed that Linear Regression is better in predicting student’s final GPA than SVM.
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